Publication Ethics

Economic Revival of Russia policies are based on conventional research standards as stipulated in the COPE Code of Conduct for Journal Editors (Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE)).

DUTIES AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF EDITORS

Publication Decisions

The Editor-in-Chief of the *Economic Revival of Russia* shall be responsible for his publication decisions, and his decisions shall comply with current legislation on copyright violation and plagiarism. In making the decision to accept or reject a paper for publication, the Editor-in-Chief shall take into account the opinion of reviewers and members of the Editorial Board.

Unbiased Evaluation of Submissions

The Editor shall evaluate submissions based exclusively on their research content. Authors' race, national origin, gender, sexual orientation, religious affiliation, nationality or political beliefs shall not affect the Editor's publication decisions.

Confidentiality

The Editor shall not disclose the content of a submission to anyone, with the possible exception of Editorial Board members and experts charged with reviewing the submission.

The Editorial Board maintains that members of the Editorial Board or reviewers shall not use unpublished content from submitted manuscripts in their own research unless they obtain authors' written consent.

Conflict of Interest

The Editor and other members of the Editorial Board shall not make any editorial decisions and shall not be involved in the editorial process if they have a conflict of interest in a particular submission. The Editorial Board requests that experts declare potential conflict of interests when asked to review a submission; if an expert has a conflict of interest, such expert shall not be appointed to review the submission.

Dealing with Possible Misconduct

If the Editor-in-Chief suspects misconduct in an unpublished manuscript or a published paper or if an allegation of misconduct is brought to him, he may investigate the case and make the decision to disclose its results.

DUTIES AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF REVIEWERS

Contribution to Publication Decisions

Peer review assists editors with their publication decisions and helps authors improve their submissions (through interactions with reviewers and editors).

Confidentiality

Experts shall treat any manuscript submitted to them for review as confidential. They shall not transfer reviewed manuscripts to any third persons and

shall not discuss them with any third persons, exclusive of the Editor. Reviewers shall not use unpublished materials without authors' consent.

Objectivity Standards

Reviewers shall clearly state and substantiate their opinion. Personal criticism shall not be tolerated.

Checking References

Reviewers shall notify the Editor in case of substantial similarity between the manuscript and a concurrent submission to another journal or published article. If reviewers discover that a submission fails to cite closely related research on the subject, such failure shall be reflected in the review.

Conflict of Interest

Reviewers shall declare to the Editor any potential conflict of interest resulting from competing interests or collaboration.

DUTIES AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF AUTHORS

Submission Standards

Articles shall contain essential detailed information and references so as to allow for the replication of published research. False or deliberately misleading statements shall be construed as unethical and shall not be tolerated.

Data Access and Storage

As appropriate, the Editor may request that authors provide unprocessed data related to the submission. Authors shall be prepared to provide open access to such information and in any case shall be prepared to store relevant data for an appropriate period of time after the submission is published.

Originality of Research and Plagiarism

Authors shall warrant that their submission is completely original. If others' work and/or ideas were used in submitted research, it shall be reflected in relevant references and citations.

Simultaneous Submission and Duplicate Publication

Simultaneous submission of manuscripts with no essential differences to more than one journal shall be deemed unethical and shall not be tolerated.

When submitting a previously published article, the author shall notify the Editor thereof and obtain relevant consent from the journal where the article first appeared. Duplicate publication shall contain a reference to the original publication.

References

Authors shall provide references to studies closely related to research results reported in the submission.

Authorship

Authorship shall be attributed only to those persons who made a substantial contribution into the concept, development, implementation or interpretation of submitted research. All persons who made a substantial contribution shall be listed as co-authors. If any other persons participated in certain aspects of a study in a

substantial way, they shall be appropriately acknowledged or listed as project participants. The author who submits a manuscript to the journal shall thereby warrant that the manuscript lists all co-authors who meet the aforementioned criteria; only authors who meet the aforementioned criteria are listed as co-authors; and all co-authors have read the final version of the manuscript and agree with its submission for publication.

Disclosure and Conflict of Interest

In their manuscripts, all authors shall declare any conflict of interest that may affect the interpretation of submitted results. All sources of project funding shall be disclosed.

Extensive Publication Errors

If a major error or inaccuracy is discovered by an author in his published work or reported to him by any third persons, the author shall promptly notify the Editor thereof, whereafter they shall jointly retract or correct the article.